

PEER REVIEW PLAN

Title:

Ozone NAAQS Review: Risk/Exposure Assessment

Purpose/Objective:

The Risk/Exposure Assessment will include, as appropriate, quantitative and qualitative estimates of human health and welfare risks/exposures associated with current ambient levels of Ozone, concentrations that just meet the current standard(s), and possible alternative standards. A concise risk/exposure assessment report will be prepared that focuses on key results, observations, and uncertainties. Following the review of the first draft of this document (Ozone NAAQS Risk / Exposure Assessment - 1st Draft), as needed, a second draft will be produced and also provided to CASAC for review as well as made available to the public for review and comment. A final draft document will be produced after considering CASAC and public comments. All documents will be made publicly available.

Product Completion Date
(Projected):

04/30/2014

OMB Category:

Highly Influential

Peer Review Leader:

Susan Stone
email: stone.susan@epa.gov

External Peer Review Mechanism:

Nominated to the Science Advisory Board for Peer Review

Peer Review Expected to Begin:

2nd Quarter, Fiscal Year 2014

EPA's Fiscal Years run from October to September.
Quarters for Fiscal Year 2014:
1st: October - December, 2013
2nd: January - March, 2014
3rd: April - June, 2014
4th: July - September, 2014

Was a deferral to peer review invoked?

No

Will an alternative peer review process be employed?

No

Number of Peer Reviewers

more than 10

Primary Disciplines needed in the review:		, Ecology, Epidemiology, Risk Assessment, Toxicology, Exposure ASsessment
Who will select the reviewers?		FACA
Will the public, including scientific or professional societies be asked to nominate peer reviewers?		Yes
Will public nominations be allowed through the Peer Review Agenda?		No
Will there be opportunity for public comment on the product?		Yes
Describe How and When	CASAC meetings: September 2012; March 25-27, 2014	
Will the Agency provide significant and relevant public comments to the peer reviewers before they conduct their review?		Yes
Will the review be a panel, conducted in public?		Yes
Will public comments be allowed at the panel review?		Yes